UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,083	09/23/2005	Takashi Kunimori	070759-0030	2700
	7590 08/22/200° C WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STREET, N.W.			GODLEWSKI, JAMES A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	1	•	08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/531,083	KUNIMORI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James Godlewski	2609			
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely unit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	eptember 2005.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌 🗄	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
. (closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are pending in the applicated Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
·—	he specification is objected to by the Examine					
. /	The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2005</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ A a)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2609

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, & 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. US Patent 6,304,254 (hereafter referenced as Johnson), in view of Matsumoto et al., US Patent 5,606,632 (hereafter referenced as Matsumoto), further in view of Moghimi "Curing comparator Instability with Hysteresis".

Regarding **claim 1**, Johnson discloses in column 5 lines 64-67 & column 6 lines 1-3 the invention relates to an LC display device based on "in plane switching", in which the switching speed is increased by overdriving the pixels upon a change of the voltage across these pixels while taking, for example the hydrodynamical properties of the LC material into account. The correction means may also be used to correct for changes of ambient or liquid temperature. This system is illustrated in Figures 1-9.

This reads on "liquid crystal panel drive device that achieves overdriving by using a frame memory and a lookup table," Figure 7 element 32 field delay reads on frame memory, and element 41 is a lookup table, New Data in reads on "input data", and Old Data in reads on "previous-frame data". This system is illustrated in Figures 1-9. As for "wherein a plurality of lookup tables are provided so as to correspond to different temperatures, and the lookup tables are switched from one to another so that one of the

lookup tables is selectively used according to information indicating an ambient temperature, and wherein the lookup tables are switched from one to another".

Matsumoto teaches a system containing many lookup tables, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Johnson by Matsumoto to include the use multiple lookup tables for the purpose of accuracy and speed. This is a common programming practice and is referred to as a 2 or 3 dimensional table (2 or 3 dimensional lookup table, sometimes referenced as a "table"). As for, "with hysteresis secured in between." Moghimi discloses in a published article in Analog Dialogue 34-7(2000) paragraphs 6-7, that hysteresis can be an effective solution to clean up noisy signals. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Johnson and Matsumoto by Moghimi to include the use hysteresis for the purpose of signal conditioning and processing.

Regarding claim 2, cancelled by applicant.

Regarding **claim 3**, Johnson does not disclose, the use of multiple lookup tables, "wherein, based on a first lookup table corresponding to a first temperature and a second lookup table corresponding to a second temperature immediately above or below the first temperature, an interpolated amount of overdrive corresponding to a temperature between the first and second temperatures is calculated."

In the related art of display systems Matsumoto discloses in column 1 lines 65-67 & column 2 lines 1-16 that multiple lookup tables can be used to calculated and store values, translation between the table entries reads on storing values and lookup tables

are interpreted as storage devices. This reads on, "wherein, based on a first lookup table corresponding to a first temperature and a second lookup table corresponding to a second temperature immediately above or below the first temperature, an interpolated amount of overdrive corresponding to a temperature between the first and second temperatures is calculated." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Johnson by Matsumoto to use multiple lookup tables in combination with a temperature input for the purpose of interpolation calculations resulting in increased switching speed as the correction/calculation means compensates for ambient or liquid temperature.

Regarding **claim 4**, Johnson does not disclose, "wherein a first storage device in which the plurality of lookup tables are stored and a second storage device, having a smaller storage capacity than the first storage device, for storing a lookup table read out from the first storage device are provided, and a predetermined number, corresponding to the ambient temperature, of lookup tables are read out from the first storage device and stored in the second lookup table."

In the related art of display systems Matsumoto discloses in column 1 lines 65-67 & column 2 lines 1-16 that multiple lookup tables can be used to calculated and store values, translation between the table entries reads on storing values and lookup tables are interpreted as storage devices. This reads on, "wherein a first storage device in which the plurality of lookup tables are stored and a second storage device, having a smaller storage capacity than the first storage device, for storing a lookup table read out from the first storage device are provided, and a predetermined number, corresponding

Art Unit: 2609

to the ambient temperature, of lookup tables are read out from the first storage device and stored in the second lookup table." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Johnson, Matsumoto, and Moghimi with the teaching of Matsumoto to include the use of storing data in multiple lookup tables, for the purpose of speed, calculations and table size limitations.

Regarding **claim 5**, Johnson does not disclose, "wherein, when lookup tables are read out from the first storage device and stored in the second storage device, corrections are made according to temperature information."

In the related art of display systems Matsumoto discloses in column 1 lines 65-67 & column 2 lines 1-16 that multiple lookup tables can be used to calculated and store values, translation between the table entries reads on storing values and lookup tables are interpreted as storage devices. This reads on, "wherein, when lookup tables are read out from the first storage device and stored in the second storage device, corrections are made according to temperature information." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Johnson, Matsumoto, and Moghimi with the teaching of Matsumoto, to use multiple lookup tables in combination switched selectively with a temperature input for the purpose of corrections made in regard to temperature resulting in increased switching speed as the correction/calculation means compensates for ambient or liquid temperature.

Regarding **claim 6**, Johnson discloses in column 5 lines 64-67 & column 6 lines 1-3 & column 2 lines 33-35 the invention relates to an LC display device based on "in

plane switching", in which the switching speed is increased by overdriving the pixels upon a change of the voltage across these pixels while taking, for example the hydrodynamical properties of the LC material into account. The correction means may also be used to correct for changes of ambient or liquid temperature. As printed in Figure 7 (Johnson) New Data in reads on "input data", Old Data in reads on "previous-frame data", and Field delay reads on frame memory. This system is illustrated in Figures 1-9.

This reads on, "A liquid crystal panel drive device that achieves overdriving by using a frame memory and a lookup table," and "are provided so as to correspond to different temperatures" and "so that one of the lookup tables is selectively used according to information indicating an ambient temperature, and wherein the lookup table is fed with part of previous-frame data read out from the frame memory and part of input data, and data for overdriving is generated based on another part of the input data which is not fed to the lookup table and output data from the lookup table."

Johnson does not disclose the following, "wherein a plurality of lookup tables" and "lookup tables are switched from one to another".

In the related art of display systems Matsumoto discloses in column 1 lines 65-67 & column 2 lines 1-16 that multiple lookup tables can be used to calculated and store values, translation between the table entries reads on switching tables and storing values and lookup tables are interpreted as storage devices. This reads on, "wherein a plurality of lookup tables" and "lookup tables are switched from one to another". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to

Art Unit: 2609

combine Johnson by Matsumoto to use multiple lookup tables in combination switched selectively with a temperature input for the purpose of corrections made in regard to temperature resulting in increased switching speed as the correction/calculation means compensates for ambient or liquid temperature.

Regarding claim 7, Johnson discloses in column 5 lines 64-67 & column 6 lines 1-3 & column 2 lines 33-35 the invention relates to an LC display device based on "in plane switching", in which the switching speed is increased by overdriving the pixels upon a change of the voltage across these pixels while taking, for example the hydrodynamical properties of the LC material into account. The correction means may also be used to correct for changes of ambient or liquid temperature. As printed in Figure 7 (Johnson) New Data in reads on "input data", Old Data in reads on "previous-frame data", and Field delay reads on frame memory. This system is illustrated in Figures 1-9.

This reads on, "A liquid crystal panel drive device that achieves overdriving by using a frame memory and a lookup table".

Johnson does not disclose, "wherein a plurality of lookup tables are provided so as to correspond to different temperatures, and the lookup tables are switched from one to another so that one of the lookup tables is selectively used according to information indicating an ambient temperature, and wherein the lookup table is fed with part of previous-frame data read out from the frame memory and part of input data, output data from the lookup table is so set that part thereof is used as complementary data, correction data is generated based on another part of the input data which is not fed to

Art Unit: 2609

the lookup table and the part of the output data from the lookup table which is used as the complementary data, and data for overdriving is generated based on the correction data and non-complementary part data from the lookup table."

In the related art of display systems Matsumoto discloses in column 1 lines 65-67 & column 2 lines 1-16 that multiple lookup tables can be used to calculated and store values, translation between the table entries reads on switching tables and storing values and lookup tables are interpreted as storage devices. This reads on, "wherein a plurality of lookup tables are provided so as to correspond to different temperatures, and the lookup tables are switched from one to another so that one of the lookup tables is selectively used according to information indicating an ambient temperature, and wherein the lookup table is fed with part of previous-frame data read out from the frame memory and part of input data, output data from the lookup table is so set that part thereof is used as complementary data, correction data is generated based on another part of the input data which is not fed to the lookup table and the part of the output data from the lookup table which is used as the complementary data, and data for overdriving is generated based on the correction data and non-complementary part data from the lookup table." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Johnson by Matsumoto to use multiple lookup tables in combination switched selectively with a temperature input for the purpose of corrections made in regard to temperature resulting in increased switching speed as the correction/calculation means compensates for ambient or liquid temperature. In addition, the applicant discloses on page 7 lines 2-10 "This complementary data

corresponds to the aforementioned cliffs (or slopes)." and "the lower 24 bits (complementary data) of the lookup table are fed to a calculation". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the combination of Johnson and Matsumoto with data manipulation techniques, such as down sampling, up sampling, and interpolation to calculate the complementary data. This reads on, "complementary data."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Godlewski whose telephone number is 571-270-3256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JG

BRIAN TYRONE PENDLETON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER